

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q78966

Tamami KOYAMA, et al.

Appln. No.: 10/581,727

Group Art Unit: 1796

Confirmation No.: 8717

Examiner: Haidung D. Nguyen

Filed: June 5, 2006

For: POLYMER FOR ANODE BUFFER LAYER, COATING SOLUTION FOR ANODE BUFFER LAYER,
AND ORGANIC LIGHT EMITTING DEVICE

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the interview conducted on

April 20, 2010, with Travis B. Ribar, Reg No. 61,446 present:

REMARKS

During the interview, the following was discussed:

1. Brief description of exhibits or demonstration: N/A.
2. Identification of claims discussed: claim 9.
3. Identification of art discussed: Saida '746 and Saida '328.

4. Brief Identification of principal arguments: (1) Lack of any motivation to combine McCormick with Saida '746; (2) Showing of unexpectedly superior properties of the presently claimed invention over the closest related comparative example in the art.

5. Indication of other pertinent matters discussed: Applicants asked Examiner why Applicants' arguments in the Amendment filed on July 27, 2009 were not addressed in the


present action. Arguments in item 4 were briefly discussed. Discussed proposed amendment to claim 9.

6. Results of Interview: Regarding lack of motivation to combine McCormick with Saida '746, Examiners directed attention to Paragraph [0047] of Saida '746. Regarding Applicants' arguments and showing of unexpectedly superior properties, there was a disagreement as to whether Applicants' showing overcame the rejection and as to what the applicable standard of law regarding a showing of unexpected properties is. The Examiners advised Applicants to submit a reply to the outstanding Office Action of November 25, 2009, and again include arguments directed to evidence of unexpectedly superior properties.

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.

It is believed that no petition or fee is required. However, if the USPTO deems otherwise, Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

Respectfully submitted,


Keiko K. Takagi
Registration No. 47,121

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: April 26, 2010